



Changing Policy and Practice Award

2026/2027 Round 2

Guidance for Applicants

Expression of Interest

Please Note

In September 2026, the Medical Research Foundation adopted the operating name Medical Research UK. Please be assured that any previous Medical Research Foundation grants are **still valid**, and anyone holding one is eligible to apply for this award, providing they meet the other eligibility criteria listed below. Our legal name will remain the Medical Research Foundation.

Summary

This document guides you through the preparation and submission of an Expression of Interest application for the Changing Policy and Practice Award.

Deadline for Expression of Interest Submission: 5 November 2026, 12:00 GMT

Submissions will take place via a two-stage process. Expression of Interest (Eoi) applications will be accepted from eligible researchers who will be asked to provide a short overview of planned dissemination activities. Expression of Interest applications must be submitted and the application received in its entirety by this deadline. All applications must be submitted via our online grants management system (medicalresearchuk.flexigrant.com). Paper application forms will not be accepted.

We advise that you prepare your application in good time to allow for your Research Organisation's checks and approvals to take place in accordance with its internal timelines. You will not be able to submit applications after this deadline.

We recommend that you submit your application in advance of the deadline so that any technical issues can be resolved in good time.

Medical Research UK is committed to making this application process accessible to all and will provide assistance where needed. Please do not hesitate to get in touch with our Research Team if you have any questions or concerns about the application process.

Email: research@medicalresearchfoundation.org.uk Tel: +44 20 4581 2403

Overview

We invite applications from researchers funded by the MRC, Moorfields Eye Charity or Medical Research UK (formerly the Medical Research Foundation) for our Changing Policy and Practice Award.

Research can only improve lives if it reaches the people who can benefit from it. This award helps researchers share important findings beyond academic journals, putting them into the hands of patients, healthcare professionals, policymakers and communities.

The award supports targeted dissemination activities linked to specific research findings and clearly defined audiences. Activities should have the potential to influence healthcare policy, inform practice, improve decision-making or change behaviours and attitudes that impact health outcomes.

We welcome applications from all areas of medical research. Applications can focus on any audience outside the scientific press.

What is a renewal application?

A renewal application should build on an existing programme of work funded through Medical Research UK's Changing Policy and Practice Award.

A renewal application could be:

- to advance the dissemination activities from your original Changing Policy and Practice award
- to target a different audience identified either through your original research or your Changing Policy and Practice activities
- to continue a programme of dissemination from your original application that has shown proven impact, to further that impact in terms of influencing policy, practice or behaviour.

The continuation of activities should enable you to develop the long-term sustainability of your programme or to reach a defined milestone whereby the activities funded through the award will no longer be necessary.

Key dates

- Deadline for EoI application submission: 5 November 2026
- Invitation to full application: 19 November 2026
- Deadline for full application: 11 January 2027
- Funding decision: March 2027

Review and Selection Process

EoIs will be assessed against eligibility criteria, and eligible applicants will be invited to submit a full application. Information provided in the EoI will be shared with speciality experts who will review the potential impact of the proposed activities. This Impact Review will be considered alongside the full application during the assessment process.

Please note: full applications will be by invitation only, applicants who have not submitted an EoI application will not be able to submit a full application.

Full applications and Impact Reviews will be considered by our Changing Policy and Practice Expert Review Panel. For further information on our review process, please see our website: medicalresearchuk.org

About The Funders

Medical Research UK

Medical Research UK is the independent charity of the MRC. Our history (formerly operating as the Medical Research Foundation) stretches back more than 100 years, when medical research was first focused on tackling major public health challenges.

Today, we fund research into neglected health conditions because there are still too many conditions affecting millions of us where research is critically underfunded. By investing in research where it is needed most, we help identify critical gaps in medical knowledge and support discoveries that can change lives.

We work alongside researchers, health professionals, partners and supporters to build a fairer medical future, for every mind and every body.

This funding round is supported by the Fleming Memorial Fund for Medical Research, established in 1959 in memory of Sir Alexander Fleming.

Awards are co-funded with the MRC, part of UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), and Moorfields Eye Charity.

Moorfields Eye Charity

Moorfields Eye Charity's mission is to improve eye health and the lives of people affected by sight loss, by funding world-leading research, innovation, education and care. With the support of the charity's donors and by investing in new ideas, exceptional people and groundbreaking technology and innovation, the charity is leading the way in transforming eye health and sight loss for people across the UK, and globally.

What's included in the award

Applicants may apply for an award of up to £50,000 (£30,000 for Changing Policy and Practice renewal awards) to support their dissemination project, over a maximum of a 2-year period (pro-rata for part-time positions).

Medical Research UK has committed to making at least £240,000 available in this round.

Who can apply

This competition will be run twice a year and is open to grant and fellowship-holders of MRC, Moorfields Eye Charity and Medical Research UK funds based at eligible institutions, as well as MRC-funded and MRC core-funded researchers in MRC research institutes, units and centres.

To be eligible:

- The research underpinning the dissemination activity must have been funded by the MRC, Moorfields Eye Charity or Medical Research UK or, for renewal awards, be a previous Changing Policy and Practice award.
- Applications are accepted from one-year prior to the grant finishing or within two years after the grant has finished. This time restriction may be reconsidered in exceptional circumstances i.e. for large scale clinical trials which take longer to publish their findings.
- *(Renewal applications only)* Applicant must have previously received a Changing Policy and Practice Award.
- Applicants must hold a PhD, DPhil or MD.
- The research finding forming the basis of the dissemination work must have been published in a peer reviewed journal prior to EoI application submission and must have been funded by their MRC, Moorfields Eye Charity or Medical Research UK grant.
- The MRC, Moorfields Eye Charity or Medical Research UK funding must be acknowledged in the publication.
- The applicant should be listed as either the PI or as a Co-investigator on the original grant. For renewal applications, eligibility is based on the original research grant, not the previous Changing Policy and Practice Award.

Applicants who do not meet the eligibility criteria will not be invited to submit a full application.

Only one application will be accepted per applicant per funding round, however, individuals can hold more than one Medical Research UK grant at any one time.

Applicants who had their eligibility confirmed in a previous round but did not apply should contact the Medical Research UK Research Team to check whether they are eligible to apply for this round.

Please note that applicants who previously applied but were not successful may not re-apply with a proposal

based the same research finding unless invited by the Expert Review Panel. Resubmissions should only be made with a substantially revised proposal and applicants should submit a list of changes made since the original proposal.

Medical Research UK is committed to supporting an inclusive research environment. Applicants and award holders may request flexible working arrangements, including part-time delivery of funded research. We will also consider reasonable adjustments, accessibility requirements, and requests for extensions or timeline adjustments where these are necessary due to caring responsibilities, parental leave, disability, health-related circumstances, or other exceptional situations. Applicants are encouraged to contact the Research Team to discuss any support requirements at any stage of the funding process.

If you have any queries about your eligibility for the scheme, please contact the Research team via email at: research@medicalresearchfoundation.org.uk.

Responsibilities of the Lead Research Organisations and the Principal Investigators

Lead Research Organisations

By submitting an application, a Lead Research Organisation (LRO) indicates their formal acceptance of the proposal, approval of the salaries and resources sought and, if the application is successful, acceptance of the terms and conditions of a Medical Research UK award.

Administrative authorities have responsibility for ensuring that salaries and resources cited in the proposal are sufficient to undertake the proposed research, attract sufficiently experienced and skilled staff and represent good value-for-money.

Principal Investigators (PI)

The PI is responsible for the intellectual leadership of the research project and for the overall management of the research. They will be Medical Research UK's main contact for the proposal. There can only be one PI on any proposal.

The PI must be based at the LRO at which the award will be administered.

Equality, Diversity and Inclusion

Medical Research UK is committed to achieving equality of opportunity for all funding applicants and aims to create an inclusive environment that encourages excellence in research through good equalities practice. Diversity is important to us, and we are working to ensure that the ways in which we fund research embraces a diversity of thought, people, geographical locations and ideas.

We strongly encourage applications from under-represented groups including female and ethnic minority researchers, and researchers with disabilities or long-term health conditions. We will support our researchers and their teams to work flexibly and in a way that meets their personal circumstances. Guidance on our flexible working policies can be found in our [Terms and Conditions](#). Please contact the Research Team if you have any questions about flexible working: research@medicalresearchfoundation.org.uk

We encourage lead applicants to consider the diversity of the research team, as well as area of expertise, when inviting Co-Investigators and Collaborators to support their application.

Environmental Sustainability

At Medical Research UK we know that [we need to protect planetary health](#) if we are to deliver our vision of a world with better health. We aim to reach net-zero in our activities as soon as possible and influence the activities of others whom we work with and fund.

As supporters of the concordat for [Environmental Sustainability in Research and Innovation Practice](#) we recognise the need to change how the research and innovation we fund is conducted and our part in promoting solutions. We are taking shared action now and into the future to reduce and eliminate our own environmental impacts and emissions, and achieve the transition to sustainable practices.

For further information on environmental sustainability expectations and available resources, please refer to our website.

Confidentiality

The proposal and any additional details submitted will be shared confidentially via a secure channel with the Expert Review Panel and attendees of the Review Panel meeting, which may include external observers. While assessing proposals, our experts may sometimes need to consult with colleagues, in confidence, about individual applications.

In order to fund as much high-quality research as possible, Medical Research UK may partner with other funders to support research in this area. In the application form we ask for your consent for us to share your application and information from the assessment process with appropriate potential co-funding partners, in confidence.

Declarations of Interest

If a proposal presents a potential conflict of interest for any of the Expert Review Panel or our Board of Trustees or staff, the individual with a conflict will not be involved in the discussion of the application and in the decision-making process.

Terms and Conditions of Award

Awards made through this competition will follow standard Medical Research UK Terms and Conditions. These Terms and Conditions spell out the responsibilities of the Principal Investigator and the Lead Research Organisation. The Principal Investigator and the Lead Research Organisation are required to indicate their formal acceptance of the application, their acceptance of the terms and conditions of a Medical Research UK award, and the approval of the salaries and resources sought in the application. We may add additional conditions to an award to reflect the particular circumstances and requirements of the funding, or the nature of a particular award. Acceptance of an award constitutes acceptance of both the core conditions and any additional conditions. We reserve the right to vary these Terms and Conditions.

Research must be conducted in line with our expectations for integrity, security and responsible practice, as set out in our Terms and Conditions. Funded organisations should ensure appropriate measures are in place to safeguard sensitive data, intellectual property and research outputs, and to support transparent and reproducible research. Applicants are encouraged to consider UKRI guidance on trusted research and research with potential misuse risk when developing and delivering their work, including the [‘Principles’ in UKRI’s policy on research with potential misuse risk](#) and [UKRI expectations](#)

Application process

EoI forms will be checked for eligibility by the Medical Research UK Research Team. Information provided in the EoI will also be used by an independent speciality expert to prepare a review of the potential impact of the proposed activities.

Full applications and Impact Reviews will be assessed by a panel of independent experts against core criteria. Applicants are encouraged to consult or include a science communication professional (i.e. from their university) on the application, although this is not a requirement.

Significance of Research Finding

- Prevalence of condition (if disease-related)
- Potential impact of findings for public health or on healthcare policy/practice.
- Contribution of results to current practice or knowledge
- Consideration of other relevant research
- Publication of findings in peer-reviewed journal(s)

Dissemination

- Need for wider dissemination beyond the scientific community
- Appropriateness of the target audience and method of delivery
- The value-for-money of the individual elements of the dissemination activities
- Effectiveness of the proposed activities and likelihood of reaching the target audience

Implementation

- Impact of the dissemination plan on the target audience
- Potential of the dissemination activities to change behaviour, policy and practice
- Plans to evaluate your dissemination activities and change in behaviour, practice and policy

(Renewal applications only) Progress from your original Changing Policy and Practice award

- Achievement of aims from the original award
- Evidence of insight in the progress of the activities from the original award
- Appropriate management of resources from the original award
- Relevance of activities from the original award to the renewal activities
- Justification of the need for the continuation of the project
- How the work remains original or valuable in their field.

What makes a successful application?

- A clear understanding of the significance of the research findings and the need for dissemination beyond the normal scientific press to the target audience
- A strong consideration of how disseminating the finding will influence behaviour, healthcare policy or practice
- Appropriateness and suitability of the target audience
- Justified and appropriate methods for disseminating the research findings that are effective and likely to reach the target audience.
- Clearly defined objectives that help to guide project activity and facilitate effective evaluation.
- Detail of the longevity of developed dissemination resources (if applicable).
- Considered or defined expected outcomes, influence or impact from disseminating the key finding to the target audience.
- A clear plan to evaluate the proposed dissemination activities including detail of the planned methods of assessing, recording and evaluating the impact of the results on the target audience.
- *(Renewal applications only)* A clear understanding of the continued significance of the research findings and the need for dissemination beyond the normal scientific press to the target audience
- *(Renewal applications only)* A clear justification of the progression of work and further development of the activities from the original award and consideration of the long-term value of work to the

What is not within remit for this scheme?

- Applications requesting funding to continue existing research or conduct new research.
- Dissemination plans that are too broad, incorporate multiple messages or are not targeted to a specific audience.
- Dissemination activities not related to the original research findings
- Applications focussing on disseminating research findings to other researchers (for example requests for academic conference travel).
- Applications that request funding for Public Engagement with Science activities without a target outcome.
- Applications that are principally educational in nature.
- Applications focussing on increasing participation in research.
- (Renewal applications only) Funding for activities which should have been completed within the scope of the original award but were not achieved in the initial funding period (unless explicitly approved by Medical Research UK)
- (Renewal applications only) Shortfall funding for activities costed in the original application.
- (Renewal applications only) A new project that is either funded by a different grant than the one used for your original application, or focused on a different theme within the same grant
- (Renewal applications only) Dissemination of related findings from a different paper (not related to the funding on which your original application was based)

Examples

Below are examples of previously successful applications.

Example 1: Fluid management of severely ill children in Africa

The dissemination work supported meetings with key international policymakers, development of training resources, production and distribution of a film and development of information packs. Policy changes were made as a result with amendments to Médecins Sans Frontières and WHO guidelines.

Example 2: The molecular causes of five novel disorders affecting developmental processes within North American Amish communities

The team worked with the local community and developed a strategy to share clinically relevant findings with affected families, education providers, clinicians and other healthcare professionals. This involved generating culturally appropriate disorder specific literature, holding family information days and educational meetings for local healthcare providers and special educational needs (SEN) teachers, and online educational tools for specific audiences. The dissemination activities have been linked to a rise in diagnosis rate of these disorders from 5% to 70-80%, with a large effect on the developmental, clinical and social outcomes of the affected individuals.

Application guidance notes

The information provided in this section provides guidance on completing the application form online grants management system (medicalresearchuk.flexigrant.com). Guidance is provided within the system itself.

Please clearly label all uploaded files and ensure that all relevant documents are suitable and present.

If you have any questions about any aspects of the application process, please contact a member of the Medical Research UK team.

Email: research@medicalresearchfoundation.org.uk Tel: +44 20 4581 2403

Use of Artificial Intelligence

As members of the AMRC, we support the [Funders joint statement on the use of generative AI tools in funding applications and assessment](#) developed by the Research Funders Policy Group, of which AMRC is a member.

“When developing funding proposals, researchers must ensure generative AI tools are used responsibly and in accordance with relevant legal and ethical standards where these exist or as they develop. As best practice, any outputs from generative AI tools in funding applications should be acknowledged.”

Applicants may choose to use AI tools in developing their application, where appropriate. Applicants remain responsible for the accuracy, originality, and integrity of their submission and should ensure it reflects their own ideas and expertise.

Application form question guidance

Section 1: Principal Investigator details

There can only be one lead applicant, who should be listed as a PI or Co-I on the original grant that funded the research. Any researchers who wish to be considered as applicants in addition to the lead applicant should be listed as co-applicants in Section 2.

Any other individuals involved in the application can be listed as collaborators unless they will be employed on the grant, in which case they should be named as staff members.

Additional Co-Investigators and Collaborators can be added at the full application stage, in addition to those included in the Expression of Interest application, by invitation from the Principal Investigator.

Section 2: Medical Research UK/Foundation, Moorfields Eye Charity and MRC funding

Published research findings

Applications should be based on research findings from a Medical Research UK/Foundation, Moorfields Eye Charity or MRC grant. This includes awards funded solely by Medical Research UK/Foundation, Moorfields Eye Charity or the MRC, as well as co-funded awards.

Please provide details of the grant that supported the research to be disseminated in this proposal.

The research finding to be disseminated must have been published in a peer reviewed journal prior to submission of an expression of interest application. Please detail the journal reference of the published article include a DOI where possible. **Publications must be full, peer-reviewed articles.** Pre-prints are not eligible.

Please only reference the publications that have contributed the research basis for the specific dissemination activities proposed. Only publications acknowledging the original award and funder should be included in the application. Other related publications are not eligible and will not be considered. A larger number of publications will not benefit your application.

Lay description of published research and proposed dissemination

The lay description should be written in a form understandable by members of the public (e.g. current or potential supporters of the charity) who are not specialists in the research field.

Please provide a summary of the research findings to be disseminated, highlighting key findings to be disseminated and indicating the research significance.

We may use this section for external communications associated with the Changing Policy and Practice Award and we aim to publish the lay summary of successful applicants on our website. The text style should be suitable to a lay audience and not contain specific details of any sensitive information.

Section 3: Dissemination Activities

If this application is not a renewal of a previous or current Medical Research UK/Foundation Changing Policy and Practice award, please do not fill in any questions related to the renewal scheme.

Provide the title, proposed start and end date, and duration of the planned dissemination activities.

(Renewal applications only) Previous dissemination activities

Please provide a summary of your dissemination activities carried out as part of your previous Changing Policy & Practice Award. This summary should be 250 words and include:

- The methods and approach used,
- The activities undertaken,
- The key outputs,
- Justification for the renewal of the award.

A detailed summary will not be required for the EoI but will be required for the full application.

Planned dissemination activities

Please provide short answers to summarise the key aspects of the planned dissemination activities. These should answer:

- What research finding will you be disseminating?
- The health and/or medical significance of this research finding.
- What background context is needed to understand the impact of this finding, and why is it important to disseminate it at this time?
- What policy, practice or behaviour do you hope to influence or change through this dissemination activity?
- What dissemination methods or approaches will you use, and why are these the most appropriate for achieving your objectives?
- Who is the intended audience for your dissemination activities?
- What outputs do you expect to produce, and how will you evaluate the effectiveness and impact of your dissemination activities?

Responses to these questions will be used to inform an independent statement on the potential impact of the proposed activities.

The detailed Dissemination Plan and budget does not need to be submitted with the EoI. However, when preparing the summary of the approach to dissemination, applicants may wish to consider the requirements for the full application and what funds can be requested:

The detailed Dissemination Plan will need to include the following information:

1. **Key message** – The key message or implication from the research finding that is to be disseminated.
2. **Target audience** – The specific target group that can act upon the research finding.

3. **Background** – Provide relevant background information that is needed to understand the wider context of your application.
 - Detail the original aims of the research funded by Moorfields Eye Charity, the MRC or Medical Research UK/and the subsequent published research result.
 - Explain the need for dissemination of the research finding and the rationale of the dissemination methods and approach planned.
 - Place the published research finding in the context of existing evidence.
 - Detail any previous dissemination methods and approach and the impact of prior dissemination if applicable.
 - Justify the significance of the research finding to be disseminated, in terms of its potential to improve health in the target group, effect a behaviour change or impact on healthcare practice and/or policy.
4. **Aims and Objectives** – Outline the changes in practice, policy or behaviour that the dissemination plan is aiming to achieve.
5. **Dissemination Programme** – Indicate the proposed methods of dissemination. Include information about:
 - Who will deliver the programme,
 - How the activities will be undertaken,
 - Where the activities will take place and the timeframe in which the programme will be completed,
 - The numbers of the target audience that the dissemination method or approach is expected to reach,
 - What the expected outcomes would be from these activities,
 - If applicable, outline any expert advice sought in preparation of, or involved in, the dissemination programme.
6. **Evaluation Plan** – Identify how the impact of the dissemination programme will be assessed. Provide details of how the behavior/practice/policy change will be measured and evaluated. A strong evaluation plan will clearly define what success will look like for this programme of work.
7. **Justification for support** – Justify the resources requested.

Applications could include requests for the costs of:

- Writing, publicising and disseminating non-specialist reports for a target public audience or wider network of healthcare practitioners
- Developing online resources or posters for target audiences
- Organising events, policy working groups or seminars
- Professionals key to dissemination i.e. science communicators, educators or health professionals, as long as this is in relation to a specific research finding and appropriate for the target audience.
- Travel and subsistence
- Research staff (who will directly support the dissemination project, but not the salary of the PI)
- Access charges for specialist equipment or services
- Any other direct costs of dissemination
- Direct costs for sustainability measures. This includes:
 - Environmentally sustainable consumables and materials, including those with a higher upfront cost where they offer demonstrable environmental benefits.
 - Refurbished, second-hand, or shared equipment, or costs associated with the maintenance and repair of existing equipment to extend its usable life for the purpose of the project.
 - Training and capacity-building activities that support the adoption of sustainable dissemination practices within the team for the purpose of the dissemination project.
 - Travel costs that reduce the carbon footprint of the dissemination, including the use of lower-

emission transport options (e.g. rail travel in place of flights), even where these incur higher costs.

- Costs related to sustainable data management and dissemination, including infrastructure that supports low-impact data storage and sharing.

*Medical Research UK Changing Policy and Practice Awards will **not** fund:*

- Any directly allocated costs i.e. estate costs and costs of shared resources such as staff and equipment
- The salary of the PI or collaborators
- Any indirect costs which cannot be allocated to individual projects (including but not limited to computing and information support, general maintenance and other infrastructure costs, HR and recruitment costs etc.)
- Cost of general public engagement in science work
- General sustainability training for research staff (not project-specific).
- Systems and staff time for tracking and reporting carbon emissions from research activities.

Please note: If the planned dissemination activities are to be carried out outside the UK, and cannot be facilitated without the inclusion of indirect costs, up to 15% of the total budget can be attributed to reasonable directly allocated and/or indirect costs. Please contact the Research Team research@medicalresearchfoundation.org.uk if you require further advice.

Co-Investigators and collaborators

Please provide details of any co-investigators or collaborators on the project.

Section 4: Declaration

For the Eol application, only the PI is required to submit a declaration.

For the full application form, authorisations and/or declarations are needed from the following application participants:

- PI, any Co-Is or Collaborators, Research Administrator, Head of Department

The PI is required to declare that they will abide by Medical Research UK's Terms and Conditions and will be actively engaged in the proposed work.

Thank you for your interest in the Changing Policy and Practice Award

We know that sharing research beyond academic publication takes time, skill and commitment. This award exists to help researchers turn important findings into meaningful change by reaching the people who can use, influence and act on the evidence.

If you have questions at any stage of the process, please get in touch with our Research Team.

Email: research@medicalresearchfoundation.org.uk

Together, we can help ensure that important research reaches the people who need it most and contributes to a fairer medical future, for every mind and every body.

Appendix

Scoring Range for Panel

Panel members should consider:

- **Progress from original award (Renewal applications only)**
 - Very clear demonstration of achievement of aims from the original award or (where applicable) how they have evolved and subsequently been achieved
 - Excellent management of resources from previous award
 - Very clear relevance and added value to the activities from the original award
 - Very clear evidence of the contribution the work has brought to the field and the continued value
- **Significance of research finding**
 - Crucial contribution of scientific results to current practice or knowledge
 - Crucial medical or health condition to address
 - Excellent consideration of other relevant research (where appropriate)
 - Exceptional understanding of the impact of findings for public health or on healthcare policy/practice
- **Dissemination**
 - Crucial need for wider dissemination beyond the scientific community and the scope of the original award
 - Exceptional plans, with well justified dissemination activities
 - Highly appropriate target audience and method of delivery
 - Very high likelihood of successful delivery (change in behaviour, policy and practice)
 - Excellent use of funds essential for the activities
- **Implementation**
 - Very high impact of the dissemination plan on the target audience
 - Clear plans for evaluation of change (where appropriate)
 - Clear plans for the long-term sustainability of the programme or to reach a defined milestone whereby the activities funded through the award will no longer be necessary (where appropriate).

Scoring matrix

Score	Ranking	Fundable	Descriptor
10	Exceptional	✓	Exceptional in all areas
9	Excellent	✓	Most areas considered to a very high standard.
8	Very High Quality	✓	Does not meet the level of leadership in innovation, influence and reach to be categorised as 'Excellent'.
7	High Quality	✓	Does not demonstrate the level of quality, reach or confidence across multiple assessment domains to be ranked as Very High Quality.
6	Very good quality	✓	Though a good and credible proposal it does not meet the level of innovation, leadership and impact of a High Quality proposal.
5	Good Quality	X	Nationally competitive, though with notable weaknesses.
4	Potentially Useful	X	Contains promising elements but requires significant revision.
3	Potentially Useful	X	Major weaknesses reduce confidence in delivery and impact.
2	Poor Quality	X	Significant feasibility or methodological concerns.
1	Unacceptable	X	Unacceptable quality and/or serious ethical concerns.
0	Ineligible	X	Outside remit or otherwise ineligible.